UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,204	08/11/2006	Tatsuhisa Yokoi	960/214	5810
23838 KENYON & K	7590 09/08/200 ENYON LLP	9	EXAM	IINER
1500 K STREET N.W.			NGUYEN, TU MINH	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/589,204 YOKOI ET AL.						
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TU M. NGUYEN	3748					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Tu M. Nguyen (examiner)</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Chris Wheeler (attorney)</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>01 September 2009</u> .							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>13,23,33 and 34</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Kawashima et al. (U.S. Patent 6,851,258)</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's arguments that the prior art of record fails to disclose the intermittent adjustment of an air-fuel ratio or a fuel addition, are persuasive; therefore, the claims appear allowable pending further search and consideration.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Tu M. Nguyen/							